

PHD GRADUATES SURVEY

Academic year 2022-23

QA&Accreditation Office


February 2024




General information about students

Graduation:

	Resi	ondents	Total enrolled	% respondents
	a.v.	%	a.v.	over enrolled
2022	27	61,4	47	57,4
2023	17	38,6	30	56,7
TOTAL	44	100.0	77	57.1




Respondents by graudation year




■2022 ■2023

PhD program attended:

	a.v.	%	% respondents over enrolled
Economics & Finance (Ec-Fin)	14	31,8	63,6
Business Administration & Management (BA&M)	11	25,0	68,8
Statistics & Computer Science (Stat-CS)	4	9,1	44,4
Social Political Science (SPS)	7	15,9	46,7
Legal Studies (LS)	8	18,2	53,3
TOTAL	44	100,0	57,1



Respondents by PhD




1

Analysis of evaluations expressed by respondents

A. General porgram aspects

		Strongly disagree				Agree		Strongly agree				N.A.	Average
	Answers		1-2		3-4-5		6-7-8		-10			<u> </u>	/ Workings
I.	em	a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%	<u> </u>	
1	On the whole, I am satisfied with the PhD program.	0	0,0	1	2,3	35	79,5	8	18,2	44	100,0	0	7,68
2	Topics dealt during the program were detailed and updated.	0	0,0	3	6,8	24	54,5	17	38,6	44	100,0	0	8,02
3	My Advisor was available when needed.	0	0,0	0	0,0	10	22,7	34	77,3	44	100,0	0	9,18
4	I am satisfied with research activities connected with my dissertation.	1	2,3	3	6,8	28	63,6	12	27,3	44	100,0	0	7,66

Mean values of item responses - a.y.2022-2023




Analysis of evaluations expressed by respondents

B. General program elements

_		Strongly disagree		3, 3		gree	Agree		Strongly agree		e Total		N.A.	Average
	Answers	1	-2	3-4	4-5	6-1	7-8	9-	10			IV.A.	Average	
Ιt	em	a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%	Ī		
5	Research activities were useful to learn how to organize and communicate the findings of my work.	0	0,0	3	6,8	24	54,5	17	38,6	44	100,0	0	7,82	
6	of their research, like conferences or workshops.	1	2,3	7	15,9	24	54,5	12	27,3	44	100,0	0	7,23	
7	Research activities were useful to build a scientific network, both at domestic and at international level.	2	4,5	2	4,5	30	68,2	10	22,7	44	100,0	0	7,27	
	My activity as teaching assistant was useful and instructive.	2	4,5	3	6,8	24	54,5	15	34,1	44	100,0	0	7,52	
9	My advisor/s was/were supportive in helping me finding placement, suggesting job positions to apply to, and writing reference letters.	0	0,0	2	4,5	12	27,3	30	68,2	44	100,0	0	8,86	

Mean values of item responses- a.y. 2022-2023



Analysis of evaluations expressed by respondents

B. Periods abroad

Did you spend study or research periods abroad, consistent with your PhD program, during your PhD studies?

	a.v.
Yes	11
No	33
TOTAL	44

F	Answers	disa	ongly gree -2	Disa			ree 7-8	agı	ongly ree	Т	otal	N.A.	Average
I	rem	a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%		
1	(In case you spent, during the year under evaluation, a period of time abroad for study, research or training) How do you evaluate your period abroad?	0	0,0	0	0,0	4	36,4	7	63,6	11	100,0	0	8,91

For which reason did you choose not to spend study or research periods abroad during your PhD studies? (Multiple answers are possible)

		a.v.
Courses and seminars provided in Bocconi are adequate and do not require additional	experience in institi	9
Scholarship amount (even with 50% integration) did not allow me to leave		3
I reside outside Italy and studying in Bocconi is an experience abroad in itself		2
I was not authorized by PhD Faculty		2
I did not find an institution I was interested in or which was available to host me		1
As I did not have a scholarship, I would not receive financings for activities abroad		1
I was not aware of this opportunity		1
Other: (up to 300 characters)		18
COVID-19	11	
Personal/family reasons	4	
I was struggling to write my PhD thesis and paid no mind to visiting periods.	1	
Held remotely only.	1	
As I spent 4 years abroad prior to PhD (in 3 different countries) my desire was to be		
located in one place throughout the PhD. I had enough experiences abroad already.		
	1	
TOTAL		37

