



		project
TOTAL	████████	
████████████████████	████████	For the evaluation of the CV and qualifications
	████████	For the evaluation of the scientific publications and the project
TOTAL	████████	
<i>CROSTA Tommaso</i>	POINTS 30	For the evaluation of the CV and qualifications
	POINTS 0	For the evaluation of the scientific publications and the project
TOTAL	POINTS 30	
████████████████████	████████	For the evaluation of the CV and qualifications
	████████	For the evaluation of the scientific publications and the project
TOTAL	████████	
████████████████████	████████	For the evaluation of the CV and qualifications
	████████	For the evaluation of the scientific publications and the project
TOTAL	████████	
████████████████████	████████	For the evaluation of the CV and qualifications
	████████	For the evaluation of the scientific publications and the project
TOTAL	████████	
████████████████████	████████	For the evaluation of the CV and qualifications
	████████	For the evaluation of the scientific publications and the project
TOTAL	████████	
████████████████████	████████	For the evaluation of the CV and qualifications
	████████	For the evaluation of the scientific publications and the project
TOTAL	████████	
████████████████████	████████	For the evaluation of the CV and qualifications
	████████	For the evaluation of the scientific publications and the project

		project
TOTAL	████████	
████████	████████	For the evaluation of the CV and qualifications
	████████	For the evaluation of the scientific publications and the project
TOTAL	████████	
████████	████████	For the evaluation of the CV and qualifications
	████████	For the evaluation of the scientific publications and the project
TOTAL	████████	
████████	████████	For the evaluation of the CV and qualifications
	████████	For the evaluation of the scientific publications and the project
TOTAL	████████	
████████████████	████████	For the evaluation of the CV and qualifications
	████████	For the evaluation of the scientific publications and the project
TOTAL	████████	
<i>PISA Alessandro</i>	POINTS 28	For the evaluation of the CV and qualifications
	POINTS 0	For the evaluation of the scientific publications and the project
TOTAL	POINTS 28	
████████	████████	For the evaluation of the CV and qualifications
	████████	For the evaluation of the scientific publications and the project
TOTAL	████████	
████████	████████	For the evaluation of the CV and qualifications
	████████	For the evaluation of the scientific publications and the project
TOTAL	████████	
████████	████████	For the evaluation of the CV and qualifications
	████████	For the evaluation of the scientific publications and the project
TOTAL	████████	

According to the criteria listed in the advertisement, the candidates Crosta, [REDACTED] [REDACTED] Pisa, [REDACTED] have been admitted to the interview that will take place on April 20, 2021 at 6:00pm.

The Committee confirms that the interview of the candidates Crosta, [REDACTED] [REDACTED] Pisa, [REDACTED] took place on April 20, 2021 at 6:00pm via conference call.

The Commission asked the candidate Tommaso Crosta a set of questions relating to his CV and about the main themes of the project indicated in the call.

At the end of the interview, and upon the departure of the candidate, the Committee, unanimously expressed a positive evaluation and assigned him a score of 60 points. Therefore, the total points allocated to the candidate are  $30+58=88$ .

The Commission asked the candidate [REDACTED] a set of questions relating to his CV and about the main themes of the project indicated in the call.

At the end of the interview, and upon the departure of the candidate, the Committee, unanimously expressed a positive evaluation and assigned him a score of 55 points. Therefore, the total points allocated to the candidate are  $30+55=85$ .

The Commission asked the candidate [REDACTED] a set of questions relating to his CV and about the main themes of the project indicated in the call.

At the end of the interview, and upon the departure of the candidate, the Committee, unanimously expressed a positive evaluation and assigned him a score of 50 points. Therefore, the total points allocated to the candidate are  $28+50=78$ .

The Commission asked the candidate [REDACTED] a set of questions relating to his CV and about the main themes of the project indicated in the call.

At the end of the interview, and upon the departure of the candidate, the Committee, unanimously expressed a positive evaluation and assigned him a score of 50 points. Therefore, the total points allocated to the candidate are  $30+50=80$ .

The Commission asked the candidate Alessandro Pisa a set of questions relating to his CV and about the main themes of the project indicated in the call.

At the end of the interview, and upon the departure of the candidate, the Committee, unanimously expressed a positive evaluation and assigned him a score of 60 points. Therefore, the total points allocated to the candidate are  $28+60=88$ .

The Commission asked the candidate [REDACTED] a set of questions relating to his CV and about the main themes of the project indicated in the call.

At the end of the interview, and upon the departure of the candidate, the Committee, unanimously expressed a positive evaluation and assigned him a score of 50 points. Therefore, the total points allocated to the candidate are  $28+50=78$ .

The Commission declares that all candidates meet the requirements for the position of Research Assistant. The positions are assigned to Tommaso Crosta and Alessandro Pisa, having the higher scores. The other candidates may be contacted for any possible future position being available on the project.

The meeting concludes at 7:30 pm.

Milan, April 20, 2021

Nicola Gennaioli



---

Prof. Guido Tabellini



---

Prof. Nicola Pavoni



---

**Bocconi**