COMPETITION, BY EXAM AND ACADEMIC TITLES, ANNOUNCED ON 12/20/2020, PROT. N. 29505 FOR THE CONFERRAL OF 3 RESEARCH GRANTS WITH A DURATION OF ONE YEAR FOR THE SECTORS 13/A3 PUBLIC ECONOMICS, 13/D3 DEMOGRAPHY AND SOCIAL STATISTICS, 14/A2 POLITICL SCIENCE AT DONDENA RESEARCH CENTRE OF UNIVERSITA' COMMERCIALE "LUIGI BOCCONI" OF MILAN

Minutes 2

The Judging Committee of the competition by exam and academic titles for 3 spots for a Research Grant related to sector 13/A3 Public economics (Scientific Sector SECS-P/03 Public economics), 13/D3 Demography and social statistics (Scientific Sector SECS-S/04 Demography), 14/A2 Political science (Scientific Sector SPS/04 Political Science) with a duration of one year at Dondena Research Centre of Università Commerciale "Luigi Bocconi" of Milan is made up of:

- Prof. Paola Profeta, Associate Professor
- Prof. Francesco Billari, Full Professor
- Prof. Vincenzo Galasso, Full Professor

met on 12/01/2021, at 2:30 p.m., in conference call for the interviews with the candidates admitted to the oral exam in the selection made on 14/12/2020 as per the previous minutes.

The Commission has acknowledged the rules established in the field of public competitions by art. 6, 8, 11, 15 of Presidential Decree 487/1994, as amended and supplemented by Presidential Decree 693/1996.

Candidates are summoned by the commission in the following order:

- Griselda Silvia
- Guney Frahm Irem
- Gupta Neeraja
- Galvan Galan Estefania
- Kozlov Egor
- Ordaz Reynoso Natalia
- Patnaik Arpita
- Savio Giulia
- Tène Eva
- Tomatis Francesca
- Anaya Beltran Lina Maria
- Bruning Max
- Cipullo Davide
- Di Leo Riccardo
- Jozwiak Andreas

The Commission proceeded with conference call with the candidate Griselda Silvia at 14:30 upon verification of his identity.

The candidate was asked to illustrate the current and future professional goals, and the correspondence between the research profile and the topics of the call. The candidate responds in an excellent way, showing a coherent profile with the areas required by the project as well.

The interview ended at 14:45.

The Commission proceeded with conference call with the candidate Guney Frahm Irem at 14:45 upon verification of his identity.

The candidate was asked to illustrate the current and future professional goals, and the correspondence between the research profile and the topics of the call. The candidate responds properly, showing a coherent profile with the areas required by the project as well.

The interview ended at 15:00.

The Commission proceeded with conference call with the candidate Gupta Neeraja at 15:00 upon verification of his identity.

The candidate was asked to illustrate the current and future professional goals, and the correspondence between the research profile and the topics of the call. The candidate responds in a good way, showing a coherent profile with the areas required by the project as well.

The interview ended at 15:15.

The Commission proceeded with conference call with the candidate Galvan Galan Estefania at 15:15 upon verification of his identity.

The candidate was asked to illustrate the current and future professional goals, and the correspondence between the research profile and the topics of the call. The candidate responds in a very good way , showing a coherent profile with the areas required by the project as well.

The interview ended at 15:30.

The Commission proceeded with conference call with the candidate Kozlov Egor at 15:30 upon verification of his identity.

The candidate was asked to illustrate the current and future professional goals, and the correspondence between the research profile and the topics of the call. The candidate responds in a good way, showing a coherent profile with the areas required by the project as well.

The interview ended at 15:45.

The Commission proceeded with conference call with the candidate Ordaz Reynoso Natalia at 15:45 upon verification of his identity.

The candidate was asked to illustrate the current and future professional goals, and the correspondence between the research profile and the topics of the call. The candidate responds in an excellent way, showing a coherent profile with the areas required by the project as well.

The interview ended at 16:00.

The Commission proceeded with conference call with the candidate Patnaik Arpita at 16:00 upon verification of his identity.

The candidate was asked to illustrate the current and future professional goals, and the correspondence between the research profile and the topics of the call. The candidate responds in a good way, showing a coherent profile with the areas required by the project as well.

The interview ended at 16:15.

The Commission proceeded with conference call with the candidate Savio Giulia at 16:15 upon verification of his identity.

The candidate was asked to illustrate the current and future professional goals, and the correspondence between the research profile and the topics of the call. The candidate responds in an excellent way, showing a coherent profile with the areas required by the project as well.

The interview ended at 16:30.

The Commission proceeded with conference call with the candidate Tène Eva at 16:30 upon verification of his identity.

The candidate was asked to illustrate the current and future professional goals, and the correspondence between the research profile and the topics of the call. The candidate responds in a very good way, showing a coherent profile with the areas required by the project as well.

The interview ended at 16:45.

The Commission proceeded with conference call with the candidate Tomatis Francesca at 16:45 upon verification of his identity.

The candidate was asked to illustrate the current and future professional goals, and the correspondence between the research profile and the topics of the call. The candidate responds properly, showing a coherent profile with the areas required by the project as well.

The interview ended at 17:00.

The Commission proceeded with conference call with the candidate Anaya Beltran Lina Maria at 17:00 upon verification of his identity.

The candidate was asked to illustrate the current and future professional goals, and the correspondence between the research profile and the topics of the call. The candidate responds in a good way, showing a coherent profile with the areas required by the project as well.

The interview ended at 17:15.

The Commission proceeded with conference call with the candidate Bruning Max at 17:15 upon verification of his identity.

The candidate was asked to illustrate the current and future professional goals, and the correspondence between the research profile and the topics of the call. The candidate responds in a good way, showing a coherent profile with the areas required by the project as well.

The interview ended at 17:30.

The Commission proceeded with conference call with the candidate Cipullo Davide at 17:30 upon verification of his identity.

The candidate was asked to illustrate the current and future professional goals, and the correspondence between the research profile and the topics of the call. The candidate responds in a good way, showing a coherent profile with the areas required by the project as well.

The interview ended at 17:45.

The Commission proceeded with conference call with the candidate Di Leo Riccardo at 17:45 upon verification of his identity.

The candidate was asked to illustrate the current and future professional goals, and the correspondence between the research profile and the topics of the call. The candidate responds in a good way, showing a coherent profile with the areas required by the project as well.

The interview ended at 18:00.

The candidate Jozwiak Andreas, as per previous email comunication of 05/01/2021, declined the invitation for the interview, as he had already taken up another job offer.

The Commission proceeded to evaluate the interviews according to the previously established criteria, and assigned the following scores:

Candidate	Interview
Griselda Silvia	38
Guney Frahm Irem	14
Gupta Neeraja	22
Galvan Galan Estefania	32
Kozlov Egor	20
Ordaz Reynoso Natalia	34
Patnaik Arpita	26
Savio Giulia	35
Tène Eva	30
Tomatis Francesca	10
Anaya Beltran Lina Maria	28

Bruning Max	29
Cipullo Davide	24
Di Leo Riccardo	23
Jozwiak Andreas	0

Therefore, given the previous evaluation of the CV, academic and scientific titles, publications and the interview, the total score is as follows:

Candidate	Academic research and qualifications	Pubblications	Interview	Total
Griselda Silvia	20	20	38	78
Savio Giulia	20	20	35	75
Ordaz Reynoso Natalia	20	20	34	74
Galvan Galan Estefania	20	20	32	72
Tène Eva	20	20	30	70
Bruning Max	20	20	29	69
Anaya Beltran Lina Maria	20	20	28	68
Gupta Neeraja	25	20	22	67
Patnaik Arpita	20	20	26	66
Kozlov Egor	25	20	20	65
Cipullo Davide	20	20	24	64
Di Leo Riccardo	20	20	23	63
Guney Frahm Irem	20	20	14	54
Tomatis Francesca	20	20	10	50
Jozwiak Andreas	20	20	0	40

Overall assessment:

Griselda Silvia: The candidate shows excellent competence and in line with the call.

Savio Giulia: The candidate shows excellent competence and in line with the call.

Ordaz Reynoso Natalia: The candidate shows excellent competence and in line with the call.

Galvan Galan Estefania: The candidate shows very good competence and in line with the call.

Tène Eva: The candidate shows very good competence and in line with the call.

Bruning Max: The candidate shows good competence.

Anaya Beltran Lina Maria: The candidate shows good competence.

Gupta Neeraja: The candidate shows very good competence, but she's specialized in non-priority contexts for the call.

Patnaik Arpita: The candidate shows very good competence, but she's specialized in non-priority contexts for the call.

Kozlov Egor: The candidate shows very good competence, but he's specialized in non-priority contexts for the call.

Cipullo Davide: The candidate shows good competence.

Di Leo Riccardo: The candidate shows good competence.

Guney Frahm Irem: The candidate shows appropriate competence not entirely in line with the call.

Tomatis Francesca: The candidate shows appropriate competence not entirely in line with the call.

Jozwiak Andreas: not applicable, as the candidate declined the invitation for the interview, as he had already taken up another job offer.

The Commission unanimously declares winners the candidates

GRISELDA SILVIA (Sector 13/A3 Public Economics - Scientific Sector SECS-P/03 Public economics)
SAVIO GIULIA (Sector 14/A2 Political Science - Scientific Sector SPS/04 Political Science)
ORDAZ REYNOSO NATALIA (Sector 13/D3 Demography and Social Statistics - Scientific Sector SECS-S/04 Demography)

The Commission passed this report to the Faculty and Research Division of Università Commerciale Luigi Bocconi for subsequent compliance.

Read, approved and signed.

Milan, 12/01/2021

• Prof. Paola Profeta (President)

• Prof. Francesco Billari (Member)

• Prof. Vincenzo Galasso (Secretary)