

COMPETITION BY EXAM AND ACADEMIC TITLES FOR THE CONFERRAL OF 1 RESEARCH GRANT ANNOUNCED ON FEBRUARY 3 2020, PROT. N. 15368 FOR THE SECTOR OF 14/A2 POLITICAL SCIENCE AND SECTOR 14/C3 POLITICAL SOCIOLOGY, SOCIOLOGY OF LAW AT THE CENTER DONDENA OF UNIVERSITA' COMMERCIALE "LUIGI BOCCONI" OF MILAN.

Minutes 2

The Judging Committee of the competition by exam and academic titles for 1 spot for a Research Grant related to sector 14/A2 POLITICAL SCIENCE and sector 14/C3 POLITICAL SOCIOLOGY, SOCIOLOGY OF LAW with a duration of three years at the Research Centre DONDENA (Project - LOSS Narratives of Loss: Unravelling the Origins of Support for Socially Conservative Political Agendas. Horizon 2020 Call: ERC-2019-COG. Grant Agreement n. 864687) of Università Commerciale "Luigi Bocconi" of Milan is made up of:

- Prof.ssa Catherine Netjes (De Vries), Full Professor
- Prof. Anthony Bertelli, Full Professor
- Prof. Piero Stanig, Assistant Professor

met on 20 and 23 March, 2020 at 3-6 p.m., via Skype call for the interviews with the candidates admitted to the oral exam in the selection made on March 12 as per the previous minutes.

The Commission has acknowledged the rules established in the field of public competitions by art. 6, 8, 11, 15 of Presidential Decree 487/1994, as amended and supplemented by Presidential Decree 693/1996.

The Commission proceeded with the candidates:

AZZOLLINI Leo
BOLET Diane
CREMASCHI Simone Carlo Vladimiro
JOZWIAK Andreas
MURAOKA Taishi
ZHIRKOV Kirill

AZZOLINI Leo

On 23rd of March at 3 p.m. upon verification of his identity. The candidate was asked to report on his current scientific projects as well as his future research agenda in relation to the themes of the call. The candidate responded thoroughly, showing a very solid grasp of his field of study, as well as a coherent profile with the topics and methodologies required by the call. The candidate displayed a lot of independent and original thinking.

The interview ended at 3:30 p.m.

The Commission proceeded to evaluate the interviews according to the previously established criteria, and assigned the following scores:

Candidate	Interview
Azzolini, Leo	40 points

Therefore, given the previous evaluation of the CV, academic and scientific titles, publications and the interview, the total score is as follows:

Candidate	Academic and research qualifications	Publications	Interview	Total
Azzolini, Leo	20	20	40	80

Overall assessment:

AZZOLINI Leo

The candidate is a PhD candidate at the Bocconi University. His research focus fits the content of this call very well. The candidate also shows some programming experience is in line with the requirement of the call, albeit only an intermediate level of R. The interview went extremely well, the candidate displayed a good insight and knowledge of the approaches and techniques required in the call.

BOLET Diane

On 20th of March at 3 p.m. upon verification of her identity. The candidate was asked to report on her current scientific projects as well as her future research agenda in relation to the themes of the call. The candidate responded well to the questions and displayed a willingness to update positions when probed. The grasp of the field of study and methodologies displayed in the interview was satisfactory albeit not always fully solid.

The interview ended at 3.30 p.m..

The Commission proceeded to evaluate the interviews according to the previously established criteria, and assigned the following scores:

Candidate	Interview
BOLET Diane	20 points

Therefore, given the previous evaluation of the CV, academic and scientific titles, publications and the interview, the total score is as follows:

Candidate	Academic and research qualifications	Publications	Interview	Total
BOLET Diane	28	30	20	78

Overall assessment:

BOLET, Diane

The candidate is a PhD candidate at the London School of Economics. She has successfully defended her PhD since she applied (without corrections), and has some publications. Her research and programming experience are clearly in line with the call. Her research project fits the ERC project well and showed a lot promise in terms of her own research contribution. It displays research autonomy. The interview was solid. The evidence of a grasp of the field of study and methodologies displayed in the interview could have been more pronounced.

CREMASCHI Simone Carlo Vladimiro

On 20th of March at 3.40 p.m. upon verification of his identity. The candidate was asked to report on his current scientific projects as well as his future research agenda in relation to the themes of the call. The candidate responded thoroughly, showing an exceptionally solid grasp of his field of study, as well as a coherent profile with the topics and methodologies required by the call.

The interview ended at 4.10 p.m..

The Commission proceeded to evaluate the interviews according to the previously established criteria, and assigned the following scores:

Candidate	Interview
CREMASCHI Simone Carlo Vladimiro	40 points

Therefore, given the previous evaluation of the CV, academic and scientific titles, publications and the interview, the total score is as follows:

Candidate	Academic and research qualifications	Publications	Interview	Total
CREMASCHI Simone Carlo Vladimiro	28	30	40	98

Overall assessment:

CREMASCHI Simone Carlo Vladimiro

The candidate is a PhD candidate at the European University Institute. He has not yet defended the PhD, but he has some publications. His research and programming experience are very much in line with the requirement of the call, especially the causal inference and qualitative combination. His research project fits the ERC project well and was rigorous and original. It displays research autonomy. The interview went exceptionally well, displaying a clear fit both theoretically and methodologically to the project outlined in the call.

JOZWIAK Andreas

On 23rd of March at 3.40 p.m. upon verification of his identity. The candidate was asked to report on his current scientific projects as well as his future research agenda in relation to the themes of the call. The candidate responded well to the questions and displayed a willingness to update positions when probed. The grasp of the field of study and methodologies displayed in the interview was satisfactory albeit not always fully solid.

The interview ended at 4.10 p.m..

The Commission proceeded to evaluate the interviews according to the previously established criteria, and assigned the following scores:

Candidate	Interview
JOZWIAK Andreas	25 points

Therefore, given the previous evaluation of the CV, academic and scientific titles, publications and the interview, the total score is as follows:

Candidate	Academic and research qualifications	Publications	Interview	Total
JOZWIAK Andreas	20	20	25	65

Overall assessment:

JOZWIAK Andreas

The candidate is a PhD candidate at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill. He has not yet defended the PhD, but he has some publications. His programming experience is in line with the requirement of the call as well as his research focus on gender attitudes and/or welfare state. His research proposal could have been more fully developed. The interview was solid. The evidence of a grasp of the field of study and methodologies displayed in the interview could have been more pronounced. The gender and politics angle of the candidate's profile does bring additional strength to the project.

MURAOKA Taishi

The candidate declined the invitation for an interview, as he had already taken up another job offer.

The Commission proceeded to evaluate the interviews according to the previously established criteria, and assigned the following scores:

Candidate	Interview
MURAOKA Taishi	0 points

Therefore, given the previous evaluation of the CV, academic and scientific titles, publications and the interview, the total score is as follows:

Candidate	Academic and research qualifications	Publications	Interview	Total
MURAOKA Taishi	30	28	0	58

Overall assessment:

MURAOKA Taishi

Not applicable as the candidate declined the invitation for an interview, as he had already taken up another job offer.

ZHIRKOV Kirill

On 20th of March at 5.30 p.m. upon verification of his identity. The candidate was asked to report on his current scientific projects as well as his future research agenda in relation to the themes of the call. The candidate responded thoroughly, showing a solid grasp of his field of study, as well as a coherent profile with the topics and methodologies required by the call as well. During the interview the candidate did not demonstrate much knowledge of the project and the approaches and methods outlined in the call.

The interview ended at 6 p.m..

The Commission proceeded to evaluate the interviews according to the previously established criteria, and assigned the following scores:

Candidate	Interview
ZHIRKOV Kirill	5 points

Therefore, given the previous evaluation of the CV, academic and scientific titles, publications and the interview, the total score is as follows:

Candidate	Academic and research qualifications	Publications	Interview	Total
ZHIRKOV Kirill	30	28	5	63

Overall assessment:

ZHIRKOV Kirill

The candidate is a PhD candidate at the University of Michigan. He has not yet defended the PhD, but he has already some publications. His research and programming experience is very much in line with the requirement of the call. The research project is well thought out and innovative. It displays research autonomy. During the interview the candidate did not demonstrate much knowledge of the project and the approaches and methods outlined in the call.

The Commission unanimously declares the candidate **CREMASCHI Simone Carlo Vladimiro**

to be the winner of the competition with effect from **1st May 2020**

The Commission passed this report to the Faculty and Research Division of Università Commerciale Luigi Bocconi for subsequent compliance.

Read, approved and signed.

Milan, 24 March 2020

• Prof. Anthony Bertelli (President)



• Prof.ssa Catherine Netjes (De Vries), (Member)



• Prof. Piero Stanig (Secretary)

