

COMPETITION BY EXAM AND ACADEMIC TITLES, ANNOUNCED ON 12/10/2020, PROT. N. 29491 FOR THE CONFERRAL OF 1 RESEARCH GRANT WITH A DURATION OF ONE YEAR FOR THE SECTORS 13/A3 PUBLIC ECONOMICS, 13/D3 DEMOGRAPHY AND SOCIAL STATISTICS, 14/A2 POLITICAL SCIENCE AT DONDENA RESEARCH CENTRE OF UNIVERSITÀ COMMERCIALE "LUIGI BOCCONI" OF MILAN

Minutes 1

The Judging Committee of the competition by exam and academic titles for 1 spot for a Research Grant related to sector 13/A3 Public economics (Scientific Sector SECS-P/03 Public economics), 13/D3 Demography and social statistics (Scientific Sector SECS-S/04 Demography), 14/A2 Political science (Scientific Sector SPS/04 Political Science) with a duration of one year at Dondeña Research Centre of Università Commerciale "Luigi Bocconi" of Milan is made up of:

- Prof. Paola Profeta, Associate Professor
- Prof. Francesco Billari, Full Professor
- Prof. Vincenzo Galasso, Full Professor

It met on 11/01/2021 at 10 am in conference call at Bocconi University to decide the scores to assign to the research and academic titles and publications. Acknowledged the nominee of the Commission n. 32483 of 13/11/2020, has decided to appoint Paola Profeta as President, Francesco Billari as Member and Vincenzo Galasso as Secretary.

The Committee took note of the regulations set out in the notice of competition that the maximum points to be assigned are as follows:

- 30 points out of 100 for the evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience;
 - 30 points out of 100 for the evaluation of scientific publications presented and the “Document to pursue the research”;
 - 40 points out of 100 for the evaluation by interview.
-
- 100 points out of a total of 100

Only candidates who achieve a minimum of 40 points in the evaluation of titles, publications and project will be admitted to be evaluated by interview.

Having read the applications and titles submitted by the candidates of the competition, which were all compliant with what was set out in the competition notice, the following points were assigned:

| | | |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|---|
| <i>BAZAZ Rabiya Yaseen</i> | 20 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 10 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research” |
| TOTAL | 30 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In Fact, the contributions on gender-related topics do not completely fall in the areas of analysis of interest of the call.

| | | |
|--------------------------------|------------------|---|
| <i>BEN BRAHIM Fadwa</i> | 10 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 5 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research” |
| TOTAL | 15 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In Fact, the contributions on gender-related topics do not completely fall in the areas of analysis of interest of the call.

| | | |
|-------------------------|------------------|---|
| <i>BERRE Max</i> | 15 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 5 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research” |
| TOTAL | 20 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the junior scholar call. In fact, the candidate is already experienced in other fields.

| | | |
|-------------------------------|------------------|---|
| <i>CABRIA Marcello</i> | 20 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 5 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research” |
| TOTAL | 25 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the junior scholar call. In fact, the candidate is already experienced in other fields.

| | | |
|----------------------------|------------------|---|
| <i>CALO' Ximena</i> | 30 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 10 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research” |
| TOTAL | 40 points | |

The candidate's profile corresponds to the requirements and the interests of the call.

| | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------|---|
| <i>CROCE' Clementina</i> | 20 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 10 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research” |
| TOTAL | 30 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In Fact, the contributions on gender-related topics do not completely fall in the areas of analysis of interest of the call.

| | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------|---|
| <i>DELL'AQUILA Marta</i> | 20 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 10 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the "Document to pursue the research" |
| TOTAL | 30 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In Fact, the contributions on gender-related topics do not completely fall in the areas of analysis of interest of the call.

| | | |
|------------------------------------|------------------|---|
| <i>GHASEMZADEH Khatereh</i> | 15 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 5 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the "Document to pursue the research" |
| TOTAL | 20 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In fact, the contribution in the area of gender is null or very limited.

| | | |
|---|------------------|---|
| <i>HERNANDEZ DE BENITO Maria</i> | 20 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 10 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the "Document to pursue the research" |
| TOTAL | 30 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In Fact, the contributions on gender-related topics do not completely fall in the areas of analysis of interest of the call.

| | | |
|-------------------------------|------------------|---|
| <i>JOZWIAK Andreas</i> | 20 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 20 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research” |
| TOTAL | 40 points | |

The candidate’s profile corresponds to the requirements and the interests of the call.

| | | |
|-------------------------------|------------------|---|
| <i>KOKKIZIL Melike</i> | 10 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 10 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research” |
| TOTAL | 20 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In Fact, the contributions on gender-related topics do not completely fall in the areas of analysis of interest of the call.

| | | |
|----------------------------------|------------------|---|
| <i>KUBAYEVA Gulaikhan</i> | 10 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 5 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research” |
| TOTAL | 15 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In fact, the contribution in the area of gender is null or very limited.

| | | |
|--------------------------------|------------------|---|
| <i>MANDAL Sanjay Kr</i> | 10 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 5 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research” |
| TOTAL | 15 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In fact, the contribution in the area of gender is null or very limited.

| | | |
|----------------------------------|------------------|---|
| <i>MATTIOLI Francesco</i> | 20 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 10 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research” |
| TOTAL | 30 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In Fact, the contributions on gender-related topics do not completely fall in the areas of analysis of interest of the call.

| | | |
|----------------------------|------------------|---|
| <i>MAZZA Davide</i> | 20 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 5 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research” |
| TOTAL | 25 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the junior scholar call. In fact, the candidate is already experienced in other fields.

| | | |
|--------------------------------|------------------|---|
| <i>MORABITO Valeria</i> | 20 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 10 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research” |
| TOTAL | 30 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In fact, the contributions on gender-related topics do not completely fall in the areas of analysis of interest of the call.

| | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------|---|
| <i>OCCHIUZZI Roberto</i> | 20 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 20 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research” |
| TOTAL | 40 points | |

The candidate's profile corresponds to the requirements and the interests of the call.

| | | |
|---------------------------|------------------|---|
| <i>RIVAS Sofia</i> | 20 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 20 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research” |
| TOTAL | 40 points | |

The candidate's profile corresponds to the requirements and the interests of the call.

| | | |
|----------------------------|------------------|---|
| <i>SALEH Wissan</i> | 20 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 5 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research” |
| TOTAL | 25 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In fact, the contributions on gender-related topics do not completely fall in the areas of analysis of interest of the call.

| | | |
|--------------------------------|------------------|---|
| <i>SAYEDAHMED Dilek</i> | 20 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 10 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research” |
| TOTAL | 30 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In fact, the contributions on gender-related topics do not completely fall in the areas of analysis of interest of the call.

| | | |
|----------------------------------|------------------|---|
| <i>SCHONDORFER Simone</i> | 20 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 5 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research” |
| TOTAL | 25 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In fact, the contributions on gender-related topics do not completely fall in the areas of analysis of interest of the call.

| | | |
|------------------------------------|------------------|---|
| <i>SEBHATU Dawit Phidel</i> | 10 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 5 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research” |
| TOTAL | 15 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In fact, the candidate has not completed the studies.

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|---|
| <i>SHAH Said Farooq Shah</i> | 10 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 10 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research” |
| TOTAL | 20 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In fact, the contributions on gender-related topics do not completely fall in the areas of analysis of interest of the call.

| | | |
|-------------------------------|------------------|---|
| <i>SHIKHOV Anatoly</i> | 20 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 5 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research” |
| TOTAL | 25 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In fact, the contribution in the area of gender is null or very limited.

| | | |
|-------------------------------|------------------|---|
| <i>STEPANOVA Elena</i> | 20 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 5 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research” |
| TOTAL | 25 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In fact, the contributions on gender-related topics do not completely fall in the areas of analysis of interest of the call.

| | | |
|--------------------------------|------------------|---|
| <i>TAMBELLINI Elisa</i> | 20 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 20 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research” |
| TOTAL | 40 points | |

The candidate's profile corresponds to the requirements and the interests of the call.

| | | |
|------------------------------|------------------|---|
| <i>TIZZANI Andrea</i> | 15 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 25 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research” |
| TOTAL | 40 points | |

The candidate's profile corresponds to the requirements and the interests of the call.

| | | |
|--|------------------|---|
| <i>YOHANNES Michael Fesseha</i> | 10 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 5 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research” |
| TOTAL | 15 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In fact, the contributions on gender-related topics do not completely fall in the areas of analysis of interest of the call.

| | | |
|--|------------------|---|
| <i>ZAPATA TOAPANTA Carla Vanesa</i> | 10 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 5 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research” |
| TOTAL | 15 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In fact, the contributions on gender-related topics do not completely fall in the areas of analysis of interest of the call.

| | | |
|-------------------------|------------------|---|
| <i>ZHOU Ling</i> | 20 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 10 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research” |
| TOTAL | 30 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In Fact, the contributions on gender-related topics do not completely fall in the areas of analysis of interest of the call.

| | | |
|-------------------------|------------------|---|
| <i>ZOI Bohti</i> | 10 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 5 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research” |
| TOTAL | 15 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In Fact, the contributions on gender-related topics do not completely fall in the areas of analysis of interest of the call.

Based on the regulations for this competition, the following candidates will be admitted to be evaluated by interview:

Calò Ximena (40 points)
Jozwiak Andreas (40 points)
Occhiuzzi Roberto (40 points)
Rivas Sofia (40 points)
Tambellini Elisa (40 points)
Tizzani Andrea (40 points)

The interview will take place on the date 19/01/2021 at the time 10:00 via conference call.


The meeting ended at 11 am.

Pursuant to and in accordance with article 5 of the notice of competition, the Committee hereby communicates this report to the Faculty and Research Division of Università Commerciale "Luigi Bocconi" for subsequent steps.

Read, approved and signed.

Milan, 11/01/2021

- Prof.ssa Paola Profeta (President)
- Prof. Francesco Billari (Member)
- Prof. Vincenzo Galasso (Secretary)



The image shows three handwritten signatures in black ink, arranged vertically. The top signature is 'Paola Profeta', the middle one is 'Francesco C. Billari', and the bottom one is 'Vincenzo Galasso'.