

COMPETITION BY EXAM AND ACADEMIC TITLES, ANNOUNCED ON 12/10/2020, PROT. N. 29505 FOR THE CONFERRAL OF 3 RESEARCH GRANTS WITH A DURATION OF ONE YEAR FOR THE SECTORS 13/A3 PUBLIC ECONOMICS, 13/D3 DEMOGRAPHY AND SOCIAL STATISTICS, 14/A2 POLITICAL SCIENCE AT DONDENA RESEARCH CENTRE OF UNIVERSITÀ COMMERCIALE "LUIGI BOCCONI" OF MILAN

Minutes 1

The Judging Committee of the competition by exam and academic titles for 3 spots for a Research Grant related to sector 13/A3 Public economics (Scientific Sector SECS-P/03 Public economics), 13/D3 Demography and social statistics (Scientific Sector SECS-S/04 Demography), 14/A2 Political science (Scientific Sector SPS/04 Political Science) with a duration of one year at Dondeña Research Centre of Università Commerciale "Luigi Bocconi" of Milan is made up of:

- Prof. Paola Profeta, Associate Professor
- Prof. Francesco Billari, Full Professor
- Prof. Vincenzo Galasso, Full Professor

It met on 14/12/2020 at 10 am in conference call to decide the scores to assign to the research and academic titles and publications. Acknowledged the nominee of the Commission n. 32482 of 13/11/2020, has decided to appoint Paola Profeta as President, Francesco Billari as Member and Vincenzo Galasso as Secretary.

The Committee took note of the regulations set out in the notice of competition that the maximum points to be assigned are as follows:

- 30 points out of 100 for the evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience;
- 30 points out of 100 for the evaluation of scientific publications presented and the “Document to pursue the research”;
- 40 points out of 100 for the evaluation by interview.
-
- 100 points out of a total of 100

Only candidates who achieve a minimum of 40 points in the evaluation of titles, publications and project will be admitted to be evaluated by interview.

Having read the applications and titles submitted by the candidates of the competition, which were all compliant with what was set out in the competition notice, the following points were assigned:

| | | |
|---|------------------|---|
| <i>ABDISA Lamessa Tariku</i> | 10 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 10 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research” |
| TOTAL | 20 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In Fact, the contributions on gender-related topics do not completely fall in the areas of analysis of interest of the call.

| | | |
|--------------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>ABRAHAMSSON Sara</i> | 10 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 10 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 20 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In fact, the contribution in the area of gender is null or very limited.

| | | |
|---|------------------|--|
| <i>ABREHA Solomon Kibret</i> | 10 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 10 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 20 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In Fact, the contributions on gender-related topics do not completely fall in the areas of analysis of interest of the call.

| | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>ALTANGEREL Khulan</i> | 10 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 10 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 20 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In fact, the contribution in the area of gender is null or very limited.

| | | |
|--|------------------|--|
| <i>ANAYA BELTRAN Lina Maria</i> | 20 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 20 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 40 points | |

The Candidate's profile corresponds to the requirements and the interests of the call.

| | | |
|--|------------------|--|
| <i>ASADISARVESTANI Khadijeh</i> | 10 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 10 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 20 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In Fact, the contributions on gender-related topics do not completely fall in the areas of analysis of interest of the call.

| | | |
|---|------------------|--|
| <i>ASMAT BELLEZA</i> <i>Roberto Carlos</i> | 10 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 10 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 20 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In Fact, the contributions on gender-related topics do not completely fall in the areas of analysis of interest of the call.

| | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>BADARACCO Nicolas</i> | 10 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 10 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 20 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In Fact, the contributions on gender-related topics do not completely fall in the areas of analysis of interest of the call.

| | | |
|----------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>BAHI Shroeta</i> | 10 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 10 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 20 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In fact, the contribution in the area of gender is null or very limited.

| | | |
|----------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>BAIR Sabrina</i> | 10 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 10 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 20 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In Fact, the contributions on gender-related topics do not completely fall in the areas of analysis of interest of the call.

| | | |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>BAZAZ Rabiya Yaseen</i> | 10 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 10 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 20 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In Fact, the contributions on gender-related topics do not completely fall in the areas of analysis of interest of the call.

| | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>BENZIDANE Cherifa</i> | 10 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 10 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 20 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In Fact, the contributions on gender-related topics do not completely fall in the areas of analysis of interest of the call.

| | | |
|----------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>BHAN Prateek</i> | 10 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 10 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 20 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In Fact, the contributions on gender-related topics do not completely fall in the areas of analysis of interest of the call.

| | | |
|---------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>BRUNING Max</i> | 20 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 20 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 40 points | |

The Candidate's profile corresponds to the requirements and the interests of the call.

| | | |
|------------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>CAMPUS Daniela</i> | 10 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 10 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 20 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In Fact, the contributions on gender-related topics do not completely fall in the areas of analysis of interest of the call.

| | | |
|------------------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>CATTIVELLI Valentina</i> | 10 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 10 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 20 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In Fact, the contributions on gender-related topics do not completely fall in the areas of analysis of interest of the call.

| | | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>CERCIELLO Massimiliano</i> | 5 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 5 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 10 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In fact, the contribution in the area of gender is null or very limited.

| | | |
|-----------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>CERON Matilde</i> | 5 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 10 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 15 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In fact, the contribution in the area of gender is null or very limited.

| | | |
|------------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>CIPULLO Davide</i> | 20 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 20 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 40 points | |

The Candidate’s profile corresponds to the requirements and the interests of the call.

| | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>DEMIESSIE Habtamu</i> | 10 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 10 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 20 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call, as the contribution in the area of gender is null or very limited. Moreover, the application does not include the Professional CV.

| | | |
|-------------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>DI LEO Riccardo</i> | 20 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 20 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 40 points | |

The Candidate’s profile corresponds to the requirements and the interests of the call.

| | | |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>DI SARCINA Federica</i> | 5 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 10 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 15 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In Fact, the contributions on gender-related topics do not completely fall in the areas of analysis of interest of the call.

| | | |
|---|------------------|--|
| <i>ESTEBAN CASANELLES Teresa</i> | 10 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 5 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 15 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In fact, the contribution in the area of gender is null or very limited.

| | | |
|-------------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>FALCONE Stefano</i> | 5 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 5 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 10 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In Fact, the contributions on gender-related topics do not completely fall in the areas of analysis of interest of the call.

| | | |
|----------------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>FERRO Simone Marco</i> | 10 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 5 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 15 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In fact, the contribution in the area of gender is null or very limited.

| | | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>FOURRIER NICOLAI Edwin</i> | 10 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 5 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 15 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In fact, the contribution in the area of gender is null or very limited.

| | | |
|----------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>FRANIC Josip</i> | 5 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 5 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 10 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In fact, the contribution in the area of gender is null or very limited.

| | | |
|------------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>GALINDO Camila</i> | 15 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 10 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 25 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In fact, the contribution in the area of gender is null or very limited.

| | | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>GALVAN GALAN Estefania</i> | 20 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 20 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 40 points | |

The Candidate's profile corresponds to the requirements and the interests of the call.

| | | |
|------------------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>GHASEMZADEH Khatereh</i> | 5 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 5 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 10 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In fact, the contribution in the area of gender is null or very limited.

| | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>GIACOBBE Pasquale</i> | 5 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 5 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 10 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In fact, the contribution in the area of gender is null or very limited.

| | | |
|-------------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>GRISELDA Silvia</i> | 20 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 20 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 40 points | |

The Candidate's profile corresponds to the requirements and the interests of the call.

| | | |
|--------------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>GUNEY-FRAHM Irem</i> | 20 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 20 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 40 points | |

The Candidate's profile corresponds to the requirements and the interests of the call.

| | | |
|-----------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>GUPTA Neeraja</i> | 25 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 20 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 45 points | |

The Candidate’s profile corresponds to the requirements and the interests of the call.

| | | |
|------------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>GUVERCIN Deniz</i> | 10 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 15 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 25 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In Fact, the contributions on gender-related topics do not completely fall in the areas of analysis of interest of the call.

| | | |
|---------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>HELMY Yaser</i> | 5 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 5 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 10 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In Fact, the contributions on gender-related topics do not completely fall in the areas of analysis of interest of the call.

| | | |
|---|------------------|--|
| <i>HERNANDEZ DE BENITO Maria</i> | 5 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 5 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 10 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In Fact, the contributions on gender-related topics do not completely fall in the areas of analysis of interest of the call.

| | | |
|------------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>HUSEYNOV Nijar</i> | 5 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 5 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 10 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In fact, the contribution in the area of gender is null or very limited.

| | | |
|--------------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>IBITOYE Olalekan</i> | 5 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 5 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 10 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In Fact, the contributions on gender-related topics do not completely fall in the areas of analysis of interest of the call.

| | | |
|----------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>INGLE Arpana</i> | 10 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 10 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 20 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In Fact, the contributions on gender-related topics do not completely fall in the areas of analysis of interest of the call.

| | | |
|----------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>JAIN Kshipra</i> | 5 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 15 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 20 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In Fact, the contributions on gender-related topics do not completely fall in the areas of analysis of interest of the call.

| | | |
|------------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>JOXHE Majlinfa</i> | 10 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 10 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 20 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In Fact, the contributions on gender-related topics do not completely fall in the areas of analysis of interest of the call

| | | |
|-------------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>JOZWIAK Andreas</i> | 20 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 20 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 40 points | |

The Candidate’s profile corresponds to the requirements and the interests of the call.

| | | |
|--------------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>KARHINA Kateryna</i> | 10 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 15 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 25 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In fact, the contribution in the area of gender is null or very limited.

| | | |
|------------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>KEELING Silvia</i> | 15 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 5 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 20 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In Fact, the contributions on gender-related topics do not completely fall in the areas of analysis of interest of the call.

| | | |
|--------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>KHAN Aamir</i> | 5 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 5 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 10 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In Fact, the contributions on gender-related topics do not completely fall in the areas of analysis of interest of the call.

| | | |
|--------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>KO Jeehyun</i> | 20 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 10 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 30 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In fact, the contribution in the area of gender is null or very limited.

| | | |
|-------------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>KOKKIZIL Melike</i> | 10 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 10 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 20 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In Fact, the contributions on gender-related topics do not completely fall in the areas of analysis of interest of the call.

| | | |
|----------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>KOKSAL Selin</i> | 20 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 10 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 30 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In Fact, the contributions on gender-related topics do not completely fall in the areas of analysis of interest of the call.

| | | |
|---------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>KOZLOV Egor</i> | 25 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 20 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 45 points | |

The Candidate's profile corresponds to the requirements and the interests of the call.

| | | |
|--------------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>KUMAR Pushpendra</i> | 10 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 25 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 35 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In Fact, the contributions on gender-related topics do not completely fall in the areas of analysis of interest of the call.

| | | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|---|
| <i>LONGMUIR Maximilian</i> | 15 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 15 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research” |
| TOTAL | 30 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In fact, the contribution in the area of gender is null or very limited.

| | | |
|----------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>MAZZA Davide</i> | 5 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 5 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 10 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In fact, the contribution in the area of gender is null or very limited.

| | | |
|--|------------------|--|
| <i>MICHAUD LECLER Catherine</i> | 20 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 10 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 30 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In fact, the contribution in the area of gender is null or very limited.

| | | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>MIRSHAHVALAD Minoo</i> | 10 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 10 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 20 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In Fact, the contributions on gender-related topics do not completely fall in the areas of analysis of interest of the call.

| | | |
|------------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>MONDAL Bidisha</i> | 10 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | _10 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 20 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In Fact, the contributions on gender-related topics do not completely fall in the areas of analysis of interest of the call.

| | | |
|---|------------------|--|
| <i>MONTANA DONCEL Jaime Mauricio</i> | 10 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 10 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 20 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In fact, the contribution in the area of gender is null or very limited.

| | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|--|
| <i>NIZZA Umberto</i> | 2 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 2 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 4 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In fact, the candidate has not received a PhD in one of the required disciplines.

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>ORDAZ REYNOSO Natalia</i> | 20 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 20 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 40 points | |

The Candidate's profile corresponds to the requirements and the interests of the call.

| | | |
|--------------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>PAOLICELLI Elisa</i> | 5 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 5 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 10 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In fact, the candidate has not received a PhD in one of the required disciplines.

| | | |
|------------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>PATNAIK Arpita</i> | 20 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 20 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 40 points | |

The Candidate’s profile corresponds to the requirements and the interests of the call.

| | | |
|--------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>PHAM Trang</i> | 15 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 15 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 30 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In fact, the contribution in the area of gender is null or very limited.

| | | |
|--------------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>PIETROBON Davide</i> | 10 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 10 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 20 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In fact, the contribution in the area of gender is null or very limited.

| | | |
|--------------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>POLLINGER Stefan</i> | 10 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 10 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 20 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In fact, the contribution in the area of gender is null or very limited.

| | | |
|-----------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>PORINO Giulia</i> | 15 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 15 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 30 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In Fact, the contributions on gender-related topics do not completely fall in the areas of analysis of interest of the call.

| | | |
|-------------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>PRANDELLI Marta</i> | 10 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 10 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 20 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In Fact, the contributions on gender-related topics do not completely fall in the areas of analysis of interest of the call.

| | | |
|-------------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>ROMARRI Alessio</i> | 10 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 10 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 20 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In fact, the contribution in the area of gender is null or very limited.

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| <i>ROSADO PEREZ Wilmarie</i> | 2 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 2 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 4 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In fact, the candidate has not received a PhD in one of the required disciplines.

| | | |
|----------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>RUSIDZE Nino</i> | 5 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 5 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 10 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In fact, the contribution in the area of gender is null or very limited.

| | | |
|-----------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>RUSSO Antonio</i> | 5 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 5 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 10 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In fact, the contribution in the area of gender is null or very limited.

| | | |
|----------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>SARKAR Koyel</i> | 15 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 10 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 25 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In Fact, the contributions on gender-related topics do not completely fall in the areas of analysis of interest of the call.

| | | |
|----------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>SAVIO Giulia</i> | 20 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 20 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 40 points | |

The Candidate's profile corresponds to the requirements and the interests of the call.

| | | |
|------------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>SAYAHNIKA Basu</i> | 10 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 10 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 20 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In Fact, the contributions on gender-related topics do not completely fall in the areas of analysis of interest of the call.

| | | |
|--------------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>SAYEDAHMED Dilek</i> | 15 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 15 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 30 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In Fact, the contributions on gender-related topics do not completely fall in the areas of analysis of interest of the call.

| | | |
|------------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>SCHIAVON Lucia</i> | 15 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 15 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 30 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In fact, the contribution in the area of gender is null or very limited.

| | | |
|----------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>SHOFIA Naila</i> | 15 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 15 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 30 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In Fact, the contributions on gender-related topics do not completely fall in the areas of analysis of interest of the call.

| | | |
|--------------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>SILVA Pedro Luis</i> | 15 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 15 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 30 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In fact, the contribution in the area of gender is null or very limited.

| | | |
|-------------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>SIMMONS Michael</i> | 15 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 15 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 30 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In fact, the contribution in the area of gender limited to the *labor* aspects.

| | | |
|--------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>SINGH Juni</i> | 10 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 10 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 20 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In Fact, the contributions on gender-related topics do not completely fall in the areas of analysis of interest of the call.

| | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>SINGH Mudit Kumar</i> | 10 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 10 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 20 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In Fact, the contributions on gender-related topics do not completely fall in the areas of analysis of interest of the call.

| | | |
|---|------------------|--|
| <i>SIRAVEGLIA Mariel Cecilia</i> | 15 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 15 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 30 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In Fact, the contributions on gender-related topics do not completely fall in the areas of analysis of interest of the call.

| | | |
|-------------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>STAIGER Matthew</i> | 15 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 10 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 25 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call, as the contribution in the area of gender is null or very limited. Moreover, the application does not include the Professional CV.

| | | |
|-------------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>STEPANOVA Elena</i> | 5 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 5 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 10 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In fact, the contribution in the area of gender is null or very limited.

| | | |
|--------------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>TAMBELLINI Elisa</i> | 10 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 10 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 20 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In fact, the candidate has a junior profile and does not have the required experience.

| | | |
|------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>EVA Tene</i> | 20 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 20 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 40 points | |

The Candidate’s profile corresponds to the requirements and the interests of the call.

| | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>TOMATIS Francesca</i> | 20 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 20 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 40 points | |

The Candidate’s profile corresponds to the requirements and the interests of the call.

| | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>TRAVOVA Ekaterina</i> | 10 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 10 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 20 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In Fact, the contributions on gender-related topics do not completely fall in the areas of analysis of interest of the call.

| | | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>UNNIKRISHNAN Vidhya</i> | 10 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 10 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 20 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In Fact, the contributions on gender-related topics do not completely fall in the areas of analysis of interest of the call.

| | | |
|--------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>VALLI Elsa</i> | 10 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 10 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 20 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In Fact, the contributions on gender-related topics do not completely fall in the areas of analysis of interest of the call.

| | | |
|--------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>VALLI Igor</i> | 10 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 15 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 25 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In fact, the contribution in the area of gender is null or very limited.

| | | |
|----------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>VINEET</i> | 10 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 10 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 20 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In fact, the contribution in the area of gender is null or very limited.

| | | |
|------------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>VUONG Bao Ngoc</i> | 10 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 10 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 20 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In fact, the contribution in the area of gender is null or very limited.

| | | |
|------------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>YANG Xianzheng</i> | 5 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 5 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 10 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In fact, the candidate has not received a PhD in one of the required disciplines.

| | | |
|--|------------------|--|
| <i>YOHANNES Michael Fesseha</i> | 10 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 5 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 15 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In Fact, the contributions on gender-related topics do not completely fall in the areas of analysis of interest of the call.

| | | |
|--------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>ZHANG Ning</i> | 15 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 15 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 30 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In fact, the contribution in the area of gender is null or very limited.

| | | |
|----------------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>ZHURAVLEVA Tatyana</i> | 15 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 15 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 30 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In fact, the contribution in the area of gender is null or very limited.

| | | |
|---------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>ZIBIN Huang</i> | 15 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 10 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 25 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call. In Fact, the contributions on gender-related topics do not completely fall in the areas of analysis of interest of the call.

| | | |
|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| <i>ZOHAR Tom</i> | 20 points | Evaluation of CV, academic titles and research and programming experience |
| | 10 points | Evaluation of scientific publications and the “Document to pursue the research”; |
| TOTAL | 30 points | |

The candidate's profile does not fully correspond to the requirements of the call, as the contribution in the area of gender is null or very limited. Moreover, the application does not include the Professional CV.

Based on the regulations for this competition, the following candidates will be admitted to be evaluated by interview:

Anaya Beltran Lina Maria (40 points)

Bruning Max (40 points)

Cipullo Davide (40 points)

Di Leo Riccardo (40 points)

Eva Tene (40 points)

Galvan Galan Estefania (40 points)

Griselda Silvia (40 points)

Guney Frahm (40 points)

Gupta Neeraja (45 points)

Jozwiak Andreas (40 points)

Kozlov Egor (45 points)

Ordax Reynoso Natalia (40 points)

Patnaik Arpita (40 points)

Savio Giulia (40 points)

Tomatis Francesca (40 points)

The interview will take place on the date 12/01/2021 at the time 14:30 via conference call.

The meeting ended at 12 pm.

Pursuant to and in accordance with article 5 of the notice of competition, the Committee hereby communicates this report to the Faculty and Research Division of Università Commerciale "Luigi Bocconi" for subsequent steps.

Read, approved and signed.

Milan, 14/12/2020

- Prof. Paola Profeta (President)
- Prof. Francesco Billari (Member)
- Prof. Vincenzo Galasso (Secretary)

